

POISSON LIMIT OF THE NUMBER OF MONOCHROMATIC CLIQUES

Somabha Mukherjee¹ and Bhaswar B. Bhattacharya²

University of Pennsylvania

July 5, 2017

¹Department of Statistics , University of Pennsylvania

²Department of Statistics, University of Pennsylvania

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Sketch of Proof
- 3 Conclusion
- 4 Bibliography

Our Setup

- Each of the vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of a simple, undirected non-random graph G_n is colored independently of the others, using one of c_n ($\rightarrow \infty$) different colors, chosen uniformly at random.
- We shall denote the color of vertex i by X_i .
- $T(K_m, G_n)$ (m fixed) : Number of monochromatic m -cliques in G_n .
- Assumptions:
 - 1 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}T(K_m, G_n) = \lambda \in (0, \infty)$,
 - 2 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}T(K_m, G_n) = \lambda$.
- We proved:

$$T(K_m, G_n) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Pois}(\lambda) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- We could actually prove the same Poisson convergence result for $T(H, G_n)$: the number of copies of a fixed connected graph H on m vertices in G_n , under the same conditions on $\mathbb{E}T(H, G_n)$ and $\mathbb{V}T(H, G_n)$.

Existing Literature

- Bhattacharya, Diaconis and Mukherjee [1] showed that under the uniform coloring scheme, the number of monochromatic edges $T(K_2, G_n)$ of a random graph G_n (under any arbitrary probability distribution) converges weakly to $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$, if we only assume that $\mathbb{E}(T(K_2, G_n)|G_n) \xrightarrow{d} \lambda$.
- Their result is more general, in the sense that they actually proved that if $\mathbb{E}(T(K_2, G_n)|G_n)$ converges weakly to a random variable Z , then $T(K_2, G_n) \xrightarrow{d} W$, where W is a Z -mixture of Poisson random variables, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(W = k) = \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E}(e^{-Z} Z^k).$$

- Bhattacharya and Mukherjee [2] established the Poisson convergence result for the number of monochromatic triangles and 2-stars, under the same setup, but with the first two moment assumptions same as ours.
- Our work provides a complete answer to their first open problem, where they ask whether the same phenomenon extends to other connected monochromatic subgraphs.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Sketch of Proof**
- 3 Conclusion
- 4 Bibliography

What do the Two Assumptions Imply?

- $T(K_m, G_n) = \sum_{H \in \Lambda_m(G_n)} 1(H \text{ is monochromatic})$, where $\Lambda_m(G_n)$ is the set of all m -cliques in G_n .
- Let $N(K_m, G_n) = \text{number of } m\text{-cliques in } G_n = |\Lambda_m(G_n)|$.
- $\mathbb{E}T(K_m, G_n) = \frac{N(K_m, G_n)}{c_n^{m-1}}$,

$$\mathbb{V}T(K_m, G_n) = \frac{1}{c_n^{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_n^{m-1}}\right) N(K_m, G_n) + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \frac{1}{c_n^{2m-k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_n^{k-1}}\right) J_k,$$

where

$$J_k = \left| \{(F, H) : F, H \in \Lambda_m(G_n) \text{ and } |V(F) \cap V(H)| = k\} \right|.$$

- Hence, $N(K_m, G_n) = \Theta(c_n^{m-1})$ and $J_k = o(c_n^{2m-k-1})$ ($2 \leq k \leq m-1$).

A Decomposition of the Number of Monochromatic m -cliques

- For every $2 \leq k \leq m - 1$ and every tuple (i_1, \dots, i_k) of distinct vertices of G_n , let $\gamma_k(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ be the number of m -cliques having i_1, \dots, i_k as vertices.

- For each $\epsilon > 0$, define:

$A_{n,\epsilon} = \{H \in \Lambda_m(G_n) : \gamma_k(H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_k}) \leq \epsilon c_n^{m-k} \forall 2 \leq k \leq m - 1, \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m\}$,
where for a graph H with m vertices, the vertices are ordered as $H_1 < \dots < H_m$.

- Let $T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) = \sum_{H \in A_{n,\epsilon}} 1(H \text{ is monochromatic})$ and

$$T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) = T(K_m, G_n) - T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) .$$

- We will show that $T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ and $T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)$ converges to 0 in L^1

- To begin with, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle m \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) \mathbf{1}(X_{i_1} = \dots = X_{i_m}) \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}(\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q) > \epsilon c_n^{m-q}). \end{aligned}$$

- Let us introduce the notation $\langle m \rangle = \{(a, b) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2 : a < b\}$.
- Hence, for sufficiently large n (for example, when $\epsilon c_n > 2$), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_n^{m-1}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle m \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}(\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q) > \epsilon c_n^{m-q}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_n^{m-1}} \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle q \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) \frac{\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q)}{\epsilon c_n^{m-q}} \mathbf{1}(\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q) > \epsilon c_n^{m-q}) \\ & \quad \sum_{i_{q+1}, \dots, i_m} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle m \rangle \setminus \langle q \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle q \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) \frac{[\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q)]^2}{\epsilon C_n^{2m-q-1}} \mathbf{1}(\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q) > \epsilon C_n^{m-q}) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon C_n^{2m-q-1}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle q \rangle} a_{i_k i_l} \binom{\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q)}{2} \right] \\
&\lesssim \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon C_n^{2m-q-1}} \sum_{t=q}^{m-1} J_t \right] \\
&= \sum_{q=2}^{m-1} \frac{o(C_n^{2m-q-1})}{\epsilon C_n^{2m-q-1}} \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Binomial Approximation of $T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)$

- Let $\{Z_{i_1, \dots, i_m} : i_1 < \dots < i_m\}$ be a collection of i.i.d. $\text{Ber}(c_n^{1-m})$ random variables, and define

$$W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} \prod_{(k,l) \in \langle m \rangle} a_{i_k i_l}(G_n) Z_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$$

$$\mathbf{1}(\gamma_q(i_1, \dots, i_q) \leq \epsilon c_n^{m-q} \quad \forall 2 \leq q \leq m-1, \quad \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_q \leq m)$$

- For any other permutation (j_1, \dots, j_m) of (i_1, \dots, i_m) , set $Z_{j_1, \dots, j_m} = Z_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$.
- Our next target is to show that for every natural number r , the r^{th} moments of $T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)$ and $W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)$ are asymptotically close as $n \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. But HOW DOES THIS HELP?
- Well, it is a simple method of moments argument! Observe that $W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n) \sim \text{Bin}(|A_{n,\epsilon}|, c_n^{1-m})$. We know that $N(K_m, G_n) c_n^{1-m} \rightarrow \lambda$.
- Now, $\frac{N(K_m, G_n) - |A_{n,\epsilon}|}{c_n^{m-1}} = \mathbb{E} T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n) \rightarrow 0$. So, $|A_{n,\epsilon}| c_n^{1-m} \rightarrow \lambda$.
- Hence, $\mathbb{E} W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \text{Pois}(\lambda)^r$ for every natural number r .

Expressions for r^{th} Moments

- $\mathbb{E}T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)^r = \sum_{H^{(1)} \in A_{n,\epsilon}} \dots \sum_{H^{(r)} \in A_{n,\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{1}(H^{(i)} \text{ is monochromatic}) \right)$.
- $\mathbb{E}W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r = \sum_{H^{(1)} \in A_{n,\epsilon}} \dots \sum_{H^{(r)} \in A_{n,\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r Z_{H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(i)}} \right)$.
- Let $\Gamma_r = \{(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(r)}) : H^{(i)} \in A_{n,\epsilon} \forall 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.
- For each $A = (H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(r)}) \in \Gamma_r$, let $H(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r H^{(i)}$ in the graph union sense, and let $a(A) = |\{H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(r)}\}|$, i.e. the number of distinct graphs among $H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(r)}$.
- Let $\nu(H)$ denotes the number of connected components of a graph H . In these notations, $\mathbb{E}T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)^r = \sum_{A \in \Gamma_r} \left(\frac{1}{c_n}\right)^{|V(H(A))| - \nu(H(A))}$ and $\mathbb{E}W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r = \sum_{A \in \Gamma_r} \left(\frac{1}{c_n}\right)^{(m-1)a(A)}$.

Closeness of the r^{th} Moments

- From the previous slide, we get that:

$$\left| \mathbb{E} T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)^r - \mathbb{E} W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r \right| \leq \sum_{A \in \Gamma_r} \left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a(A)} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{|V(H(A))| - \nu(H(A))} \right|.$$

- For any $A \in \Gamma_r$, it can be shown that:

$$(m-1)a(A) \geq |V(H(A))| - \nu(H(A)).$$

- We showed that the number of tuples $A \in \Gamma_r$ with $|V(H(A))| = \nu$ and $\nu(H(A)) = \nu$, for which the above inequality is strict, is $\epsilon O(c_n^{\nu-\nu})$.
- Since ν and ν can take only a bounded number of values, the r^{th} moment difference is seen to be $\epsilon |O_n(1) - o_n(1)| = \epsilon O_n(1)$.
- This shows that $\mathbb{E} T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)^r - \mathbb{E} W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r$ converge to 0, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, followed by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, thereby completing the proof.
- The details are sketched in the next few slides.

Further Details

- For each $1 \leq a \leq r, m \leq v \leq mr$ and $1 \leq \nu \leq r$, define

$$\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r = \left\{ A \in \Gamma_r : a(A) = a, |V(H(A))| = v \text{ and } \nu(H(A)) = \nu \right\}. \quad (1)$$

- Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)^r - \mathbb{E} W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)^r \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{a=1}^r \sum_{v=m}^{mr} \sum_{\nu=1}^r \sum_{A \in \Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r} \left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{v-\nu} \right| \\ & = \sum_{a=1}^r \sum_{v=m}^{mr} \sum_{\nu=1}^r \left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{v-\nu} \right| |\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r| \end{aligned}$$

- It thus suffices to show that for every fixed $1 \leq a \leq r, m \leq v \leq mr$ and $1 \leq \nu \leq r$,

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{v-\nu} \right| |\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

A Useful Lemma

- **Lemma:** Let $A = (H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(s)}) \in \Gamma_s$ for some natural number s , and suppose that $H(A)$ is connected. Then, one of the following two always holds:
(1) There exists an ordering $(G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(s)})$ of $(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(s)})$ such that for each $2 \leq t \leq s$, either

$$|V(G^{(t)}) \cap \bigcup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| = 1$$

or $G^{(t)}$ equals one of $G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(t-1)}$.

- (2) There exists an ordering $(G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(s)})$ of $(H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(s)})$ such that

$$|V(G^{(t)}) \cap \bigcup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| \geq 1 \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq s \text{ and}$$

$$2 \leq |V(G^{(t)}) \cap \bigcup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| \leq m - 1 \text{ for some } 2 \leq t \leq s.$$

- Let $A = (G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(s)}) \in \Gamma_s$ & $|V(G^{(t)}) \cap \cup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| \geq 1 \forall 2 \leq t \leq s$.
For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, define

$$s_k = \left| \{2 \leq t \leq s : |V(G^{(t)}) \cap \cup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| = m - k\} \right|.$$

Also, define

$$s_0 = \left| \{2 \leq t \leq s : |V(G^{(t)}) \cap \cup_{u=1}^{t-1} V(G^{(u)})| = m, G^{(t)} \notin \{G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(t-1)}\}\} \right|.$$

Now, we have:

$$|V(H(G))| = m + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k s_k \text{ and}$$

$$a(G) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} s_k.$$

Hence, we have:

$$|V(H(G))| \leq m + (m-1) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} s_k \leq (m-1)a(G) + 1,$$

with equality holding if and only if $s_0 = s_1 = \dots = s_{m-2} = 0$.

- If $\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r$ is empty, or contains an A with the property that each of the connected components $(H(A))_1, \dots, (H(A))_\nu$ of $H(A)$, expressed as tuples A_1, \dots, A_ν , satisfies case (1) of the lemma, then

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{v-\nu} \right| |\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r| = 0.$$

- So, suppose that for every element A of $\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, such that A_i satisfies case (2) of the lemma.
- For each A_i ($1 \leq i \leq \nu$), denote the quantities s_0, \dots, s_{m-1} for A_i as s_0^i, \dots, s_{m-1}^i , respectively.
- So, for a fixed array of quantities $(s_j^i)_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, 1 \leq i \leq \nu}$, the number of elements of $\Gamma_{a,v,\nu}^r$ corresponding to these array, is \leq (upto constant multiples):

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k=1}^{\nu} N(K_m, G_n)^{1+s_{m-1}^k} \prod_{u=1}^{m-2} (\epsilon c_n^u)^{s_u^k} \\ \lesssim & \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \sum_{u=1}^{m-2} s_u^k c_n^{(m-1)(\nu + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} s_{m-1}^k)} c_n^{\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \sum_{u=1}^{m-2} us_u^k} \\ \lesssim & \epsilon c_n^{\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \sum_{u=1}^{m-1} us_u^k + (m-1)\nu} \\ = & \epsilon c_n^{v-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Completing the Calculation for $T_{1,\epsilon}$

- Since the array $(s_j^i)_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, 1 \leq i \leq \nu}$ is constrained within the **finite** set $\{0, \dots, r\}^{m \times \nu}$, we conclude that

$$\left| \Gamma_{a,\nu,\nu}^r \right| \leq rm\nu \epsilon c_n^{\nu-\nu} \lesssim \epsilon c_n^{\nu-\nu} .$$

- Hence, $\left| \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{(m-1)a} - \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{\nu-\nu} \right| \left| \Gamma_{a,\nu,\nu}^r \right| \lesssim \epsilon \left| 1 - c_n^{\nu-\nu-(m-1)a} \right|$.
- Clearly, the right hand side of the last inequality goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ followed by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- This completes the entire proof!

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Sketch of Proof
- 3 Conclusion**
- 4 Bibliography

Concluding Remarks

- The truncation we performed on the number of m -cliques supported by every tuple of distinct vertices of an m -clique in G_n , is crucial for the closeness of the moments of the main term $T_{1,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)$ and the corresponding Binomial variable $W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n)$, and at the same time, ensures that the remainder term $T_{2,\epsilon}(K_m, G_n)$ is $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.
- The proof for the Poisson limit of the number of monochromatic copies of an arbitrary fixed, connected graph is almost similar to the proof for cliques, barring a few technicalities.
- For example, in the general case, $W_{m,\epsilon}(G_n) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\epsilon}} Z_{F_1, \dots, F_n}$ may not have a Binomial distribution, because of the possibility of the existence of more than one copy of the graph H with the same vertex set. This hampers independence of the summands.
- We dealt with this issue by splitting the above sum into a main term consisting of those copies of H whose vertex sets do not support any other copy, and a remainder term consisting of those copies of H whose vertex sets support at least another copy. We then showed that the remainder term converges to 0 in L^r for every natural number r .

Our Most General Result

- The following is the most general result proved by us:

General Result: Let H_0 be a fixed, connected graph on m vertices and for each $1 \leq k \leq 2^{\binom{m}{2}}$, define:

$$R_k = \left| \left\{ S \subseteq V(G_n) : |S| = m \text{ and } G_n[S] \text{ contains exactly } k \text{ copies of } H_0 \right\} \right|.$$

Also, for $2 \leq k \leq m-1$, define J_k as the number of all ordered pairs of copies of H_0 in G_n , that have k vertices in common. Assume that the following two conditions hold:

- $\frac{R_k}{c_n^{m-1}} \rightarrow \lambda_k (\geq 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq 2^{\binom{m}{2}}$,
- $J_k = o(c_n^{2m-k-1}) \forall 2 \leq k \leq m-1$.

Then,

$$T(H_0, G_n) \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\binom{m}{2}}} k \text{ Pois}(\lambda_k),$$

where the Poisson random variables in the limit are all independent.



Outline

1 Introduction

2 Sketch of Proof

3 Conclusion

4 Bibliography

-  BHATTACHARYA, B. B., DIACONIS, P., MUKHERJEE, S., *Universal Poisson and Normal limit theorems in graph coloring problems with connections to extremal combinatorics*, Annals of Applied Probability, Vol. 27 (1), 337-394, 2017.
-  BHATTACHARYA, B. B., MUKHERJEE, S., *Limit theorems for Monochromatic 2-stars and triangles*, arXiv version, 2017.